

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 4/24/2012 7:54:31 AM  
**To:** Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; heston.gerald@epa.gov; Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock 2nd Round Re Sampling - OSC Recommendation

No problem, I completely understand.

---

Richard Rupert,  
On-Scene Coordinator  
U.S. EPA  
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-3463 - office  
215 514-8773 - mobile  
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."  
Colin Powell

**From:** Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Cc:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, heston.gerald@epa.gov, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 04/24/2012 07:40 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock 2nd Round Re Sampling - OSC Recommendation

Thanks for the rationale..... I don't disagree with the suggestion to include basic WQ parameters in our resampling. However, I will be asked why and I wanted to make sure I was saying the same things as you guys..... den.